(1)

(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

Single Bench

WRIT PETITION NO.297 / 2006

PETITIONER: Shantaram Patil,
S/o Late Shri K. B. Patil,
Aged about 46 years,
Post –R.H.E.O (Class III Employee) Horticulture Department,
R/o Pragati Nagar,
Rishali 330/28, Bhilai,
District Durg, Chhattisgarh

P.R. No. 306/06
Presented by Shri Alok Dewangan
dated 18/1/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS: :

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Ministry of Agriculture,
Horticulture Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya
Raipur, C.G.

RECEIVED-COPY
18/1/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The Director,
Department of Horticulture,
V.I.P. State, Pramila Griha
Nirman Samiti, Khamardiha,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

3. The Assistant Director,
Department of Horticulture,
Durg, District Durg, C.G.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUENCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBTION, CERTIORARI, AND ANY OTHER WRITS, DIRECTIONS OR ORDERS.

The petitioner named above begs to submit as under: -

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 297/06

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

(20)

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

20-1-2006

Shri Alok Dewangan, counsel for the applicant.

Shri A.S. Kachhawaha, Dy. Govt. Advocate, for the State.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed this petition against the order dated 27-12-2005 whereby he has been transferred from Durg to Dantewara. He further submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

Prayer is not opposed by the State counsel.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within two weeks from today, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been relieved. He prays that the petitioner may be allowed to continue.

It is directed that if the petitioner has not been relieved he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently M.W.P.No. 252/2006 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Filed pathakpp. 20.1.06 27/1/06